

In: KSC-BC-2023-10

The Prosecutor v. Sabit Januzi and Ismet Bahtjari

Before: Pre-Trial Judge

Judge Nicolas Guillou

**Registrar:** Dr Fidelma Donlon

Date: 2 October 2023

Language: English

Classification: Public

## Public Redacted Version of the

Decision on Request for Arrest Warrants and Transfer Orders

**Acting Deputy Specialist Prosecutor** 

Ward Ferdinandusse

**THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE,**<sup>1</sup> pursuant to Articles 35(3), 39(3), 41 and 53 of Law No. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("Law"), and Rules 50(1), 53, 55, 86(6)(b), 200 and 202 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence

Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers ("Rules"), hereby renders this decision.

## I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

- 1. On 11 September 2023, the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("SPO") submitted for confirmation a strictly confidential and *ex parte* indictment, along with evidentiary material in support of the factual allegations, as well as an outline linking each item of evidentiary material to each allegation ("Indictment"), and a number of related requests ("Submission of Indictment").<sup>2</sup>
- 2. On 25 September 2023, the President of the Specialist Chambers ("SC") issued a decision invoking a change of venue to the Netherlands ("Host State").<sup>3</sup>
- 3. On 29 September 2023, the Pre-Trial Judge issued an order setting a target date for the issuance of the decision reviewing the Indictment.<sup>4</sup>
- 4. On 2 October 2023, the Pre-Trial Judge rendered a decision confirming the Indictment ("Confirmation Decision").<sup>5</sup>

KSC-BC-2023-10 1 2 October 2023

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> KSC-BC-2023-10, F00001, President, *Decision Assigning a Pre-Trial Judge*, 11 September 2023, confidential.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> KSC-BC-2023-10, F00002, Specialist Prosecutor, *Submission of Indictment for Confirmation and Related Requests*, 11 September 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, with Annexes 1-3, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> KSC-BC-2023-10, F00005, President, *Decision Invoking a Change of Venue to the Host State*, ("Decision on Change of Venue"), 25 September 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> KSC-BC-2023-10, F00007, Pre-Trial Judge, *Order Setting a Target Date for a Decision Pursuant to Article* 39(2), 29 September 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> KSC-BC-2023-10, F00008, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on the Confirmation of the Indictment*, 2 October 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

II. SUBMISSIONS

5. Along with confirmation of the Indictment, the SPO requests, inter alia, arrest

warrants and orders for transfer for Sabit Januzi ("Mr Januzi") and Ismet Bahtjari

("Mr Bahtjari") (collectively, the "Accused").6 To this end, the SPO asserts that the

requirements under Article 41(6) of the Law are satisfied.<sup>7</sup> More specifically, the

SPO submits that there is a grounded suspicion that Mr Januzi and Mr Bahtjari have

committed crimes within the jurisdiction of the SC.8 The SPO also contends that

there are articulable grounds to believe that the Accused (i) are a flight risk;9 (ii) will

obstruct the progress of criminal proceedings by influencing witnesses, victims, or

accomplices;10 (iii) will repeat the criminal offences or arrange for crimes to be

committed against those perceived to be against them.<sup>11</sup>

6. The SPO further requests that the Pre-Trial Judge transmit the arrest warrants

and transfer orders to the SPO for execution and service, in cooperation with the

Registrar.<sup>12</sup> In executing the arrest warrants and transfer orders, the SPO also seeks

authorisation to disclose them as necessary and appropriate for this purpose. 13

7. Moreover, the SPO requests that the Pre-Trial Judge order the transfer of the

Accused to the SC Detention Facilities in the Host State, pursuant to Rule 50(1)-(2)

of the Rules.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Submission of Indictment, paras 6, 32(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Submission of Indictment, paras 7, 8-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Submission of Indictment, paras 7, 10-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Submission of Indictment, paras 7, 16-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 18.

III. APPLICABLE LAW

8. Pursuant to Article 39(3) of the Law and Rule 86(6)(b) of the Rules, at the

request of the SPO, the Pre-Trial Judge may issue any orders and warrants as may

be required for the preparation of a fair and expeditious trial.

Pursuant to Article 41(6) of the Law, the SC shall only order the arrest and

detention of a person when (a) there is a grounded suspicion that the person has

committed a crime within the jurisdiction of the SC; and (b) there are articulable

grounds to believe that the person: (i) is a flight risk; (ii) will destroy, hide, change

or forge evidence of a crime or will obstruct the progress of the criminal proceedings

by influencing witnesses, victims or accomplices; or (iii) will repeat the criminal

offence, complete an attempted crime, or commit a crime that they have threatened

to commit. Pursuant to Article 41(1), (4), and (5) of the Law, the issuance of the

arrest warrant must be consistent with the fundamental rights provided for in

Chapter II of the Constitution, and the person concerned must be informed of their

rights under the Law with a view to exercising them before the Pre-Trial Judge.

10. Pursuant to Rule 53(2) of the Rules, the arrest warrant shall contain: (i) the name

of the person and any other relevant identifying information; (ii) a specific reference

to the crimes for which the person's arrest is sought; (iii) a concise statement of facts

allegedly constituting those crimes; and (iv) where applicable, the SC Detention

Facilities where the person shall be transferred. Pursuant to Rule 53(3) of the Rules,

the arrest warrant shall remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Panel.

11. Pursuant to Rule 50(1) of the Rules, if an arrest warrant is issued, the Panel shall

order the competent authorities to transfer the person to the SC without delay, and

may include conditions for the transfer and further detention, after consulting with

the Registrar. Pursuant to Article 53(3) of the Law, a warrant of arrest issued by the

SC shall have the same force and effect as a warrant of arrest issued by any other

Kosovo court.

KSC-BC-2023-10 3 2 October 2023

PUBLIC Date original: 02/10/2023 16:56:00

Date public redacted version: 12/10/2023 16:24:00

12. Pursuant to Article 35(3) of the Law, the police within the SPO shall have the

authority and responsibility to exercise powers given to the Kosovo Police under

Kosovo law.

13. Pursuant to Rule 55(4) of the Rules, upon notification of the arrest of a person

by the competent authorities, the Registrar shall make the necessary arrangements,

with the competent authorities and the Host State, for the prompt transfer of the

arrested person to a detention facility of the SC.

14. Pursuant to Article 41(7) of the Law, persons subject to arrest warrants may be

detained in detention facilities overseen by the SC and managed by the Registry

near the seat of the SC in the Host State if proceedings are relocated thereto.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. JURISDICTION

15. The SPO seeks arrest warrants and transfer orders for the Accused, citizens of

Kosovo, for offences under Article 15(2) of the Law in conjunction with Articles 387

(intimidation during criminal proceedings), as well as 401(1) and (5) and 401(2) and

(5) (obstructing official persons in performing official duties) of the 2019 Kosovo

Criminal Code, Code No. 06/L-074 ("KCC"), committed between at least 5 April

and 12 April 2023.15

16. Based on the information provided by the SPO, and without prejudice to

subsequent determinations on this matter, the Pre-Trial Judge recalls that, as also

articulated in the Confirmation Decision, pursuant to Articles 6(2) and 15(2) of the

Law, the SC have jurisdiction over the offences of intimidation during criminal

proceedings and obstructing official persons in performing official duties, under

<sup>15</sup> Indictment, paras 5-6, 21, 30.

KSC-BC-2023-10 4 2 October 2023

**PUBLIC** Date original: 02/10/2023 16:56:00

Date public redacted version: 12/10/2023 16:24:00

Articles 387 and 401 of the KCC, as they relate to SC official proceedings and officials, allegedly committed between at least 5 April and 12 April 2023.<sup>16</sup>

B. REQUIREMENTS OF ARTICLE 41(6) OF THE LAW

1. Offences Allegedly Committed

17. The Pre-Trial Judge recalls that, when confirming the Indictment, he found that

there is a well-grounded suspicion that the Accused committed or attempted to

commit offences within the jurisdiction of the SC, namely intimidation during

criminal proceedings within the meaning of Article 387 of the KCC and Article 15(2)

of the Law, and obstructing official persons in performing official duties within the

meaning of Article 401(1), (2) and (5) of the KCC and Article 15(2) of the Law. 17 The

Pre-Trial Judge therefore finds that the requirement set forth in Article 41(6)(a) of

the Law has been met.

2. Necessity of the Arrest

18. The SPO submits that the arrest of the Accused is necessary because there are

articulable grounds to believe that all three risks foreseen under Article 41(6)(b)(i)-

(iii) of the Law exist.18

19. The Pre-Trial Judge recalls that the grounds allowing to deprive a person of his

or her liberty are in the alternative, and must be articulable.<sup>19</sup> The Pre-Trial Judge

further recalls that, determining the existence of any of these risks, so as to make

<sup>16</sup> Confirmation Decision, para. 22. See also KSC-BC-2020-07, F00057, Single Judge, Decision on Defence Challenges, 27 October 2020, public, paras 23-26; F00147/RED, Pre-Trial Judge, Public Redacted Version of Decision on Defence Preliminary Motions, 8 March 2021, public, paras 28-34.

5 KSC-BC-2023-10 2 October 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Confirmation Decision, paras 95, 111, 123.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 7.

<sup>19</sup> KSC-BC-2020-06, IA001/F00005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Kadri Veseli's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release ("Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision"), 30 April 2021, public, para. 15. See also Articles 19(1.9), 19(1.10) and 19(1.31) of the 2022 Kosovo Criminal Procedure Code, Code No. 08/L-032 ("KCPC").

the arrest of the person necessary, is a matter of assessing the possibility, rather than the inevitability that such risks materialise.<sup>20</sup>

20. As regards the flight risk under Article 41(6)(b)(i) of the Law, the Pre-Trial Judge takes into account that the filing of the confirmed Indictment reflecting the gravity of the offences charged, together with the potential sentence, is one of the factors that has a bearing upon the assessment of the flight risk,<sup>21</sup> and provides the Accused with a motive to evade justice. Further, the Pre-Trial Judge notes that, in principle, the Accused would have the opportunity to evade justice, including by traveling freely to jurisdictions beyond the reach of the SC. Likewise, considering that the Accused have already demonstrated a blatant disregard for the laws and rule of the SC, in particular court-ordered protective measures, the Pre-Trial Judge is not convinced that a summons would ensure the presence of the Accused during the proceedings. As regards the Accused's means to evade justice, the Pre-Trial Judge considers that the arguments set forth by the SPO are general and abstract.<sup>22</sup> In particular, the Pre-Trial Judge observes that, other than a reference to the Accused's connections to former Kosovo Liberation Army ("KLA") commanders and the latter's associated networks, the SPO provides no further information about the Accused's personal circumstances, such as their home, occupation, assets or family ties.<sup>23</sup> Likewise, the SPO fails to provide any information as to the composition of said associated KLA networks, or their capacity and resources.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, in light of the information and evidence, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that, while a risk of flight exists for both Accused, this risk is moderate.

KSC-BC-2023-10 6 2 October 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision, para. 17. Similarly, ICC, Prosecutor v. Bemba Gombo et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-558, Appeals Chamber, Judgment on the appeal of Mr Aimé Kilolo Musamba against the decision of Pre-Trial Chamber II of 14 March 2014 entitled "Decision on the 'Demande de mise en liberté provisoire de Maître Aimé Kilolo Musamba'", 11 July 2014, para. 107.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Similarly, KSC-BC-2020-07, IA002/F0005, Court of Appeals Panel, Decision on Nasim Haradinaj's Appeal Against Decision Reviewing Detention, 9 February 2021, public, para. 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See for instance ECtHR, Khudoyorov v. Russia, no. 6847/02, Judgment, 8 November 2005, para.173

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See ECtHR, Becciev v. Moldova, no. 9190/03, Judgment, 4 October 2005, para. 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See KSC-BC-2020-06, IA002/F00005/RED, Court of Appeals Panel, Public Redacted Version of Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release, 30 April 2021, public, para. 55.

21. As regards the obstruction of proceedings under Article 41(6)(b)(ii) of the Law, the Pre-Trial Judge recalls, at the outset, his findings in the Confirmation Decision as to the existence of a well-grounded suspicion that the two Accused, jointly with others, including [REDACTED] ("Co-Perpetrator 1"), individually approached on two separate occasions [REDACTED] ("Witness 1") to induce him to refrain from testifying in SC proceedings.<sup>25</sup> The Pre-Trial Judge further recalls that, on the first occasion, Mr Bahtjari confirmed to Witness 1 that failure to withdraw his testimony could result in [REDACTED].<sup>26</sup> In this regard, the Pre-Trial Judge attaches weight to the fact that the Accused have the means and the intent to obtain and misuse witness-related information to obstruct and interfere with SC proceedings, including by exerting pressure on Witness 1 and his family in order to dissuade him from further participating as an SPO witness. Notably, Mr Bahtjari and Mr Januzi [REDACTED]<sup>27</sup> and, therefore, both Accused have increased opportunities to directly interfere with Witness 1 and his family. The Pre-Trial Judge further considers the close coordination between Co-Perpetrator 1 and the Accused in relation to their approaches to Witness 1,28 which is indicative of the Accused's persistence in intimidation and obstruction efforts in the context of SC proceedings.<sup>29</sup> Lastly, the Pre-Trial Judge makes the foregoing findings bearing in mind the pervasive climate of fear and intimidation in Kosovo against witnesses or potential witnesses of the SC.<sup>30</sup> Therefore, in light of the information and evidence,

KSC-BC-2023-10 7 2 October 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Confirmation Decision, paras 88-89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Confirmation Decision, paras 76, 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Confirmation Decision, para. 72. *See also* KSC-BC-2018-01, F00342, Single Judge, *Decision Authorising Search and Seizure and Related Measures*, 28 April 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, para. 3; F00348, Single Judge, *Decision Authorising Search and Seizure and Related Measures*, 3 May 2023, strictly confidential and *ex parte*, paras 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 11. See also Confirmation Decision, paras 72-87, 113-117.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Confirmation Decision, paras 91-94, 108-110, 122. See also Submission of Indictment, para. 14(i).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See, amongst many, KSC-BC-2020-04, F00663/RED, Trial Panel I, Public Redacted Version of Decision on the Thirteenth Review of Detention of Pjetër Shala, 20 September 2023, public, para. 17; KSC-BC-2020-05, F00494/RED3/COR, Further Redacted Version of Corrected Version of Public Redacted Version of Trial Judgment, public, paras 49-57; KSC-BC-2020-06, F01794, Trial Panel II, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Rexhep Selimi, 15 September 2023, public, paras 20, 33; KSC-BC-2020-07, F00611/RED, Trial Panel II, Public Redacted Version of the Trial Judgment, 18 May 2022, public, paras 576-581.

PUBLIC
Date original: 02/10/2023 16:56:00

Date public redacted version: 12/10/2023 16:24:00

the Pre-Trial Judge finds that the Accused may obstruct the progress of criminal

proceedings.

22. As regards the further commission of crimes under Article 41(6)(b)(iii) of the

Law, the Pre-Trial Judge is of the view that the relevant factors to be considered are

the same as those recited in paragraph 21 above with respect to the obstruction of

proceedings. In particular, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that there exists a risk that the

Accused will repeat the offences alleged to have been committed by them.

Therefore, in light of the information and evidence, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that

the Accused may commit further offences.

23. In light of the foregoing, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that there are articulable

grounds to believe that there is a risk that the Accused may flee (although this risk

is moderate), obstruct the progress of the criminal proceedings, or commit further

offences, therefore necessitating their arrest and detention, in accordance with

Article 41(6)(b) of the Law.

C. COMPLIANCE WITH FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

24. Insofar as the SPO undertakes to adopt appropriate measures that will protect

the fundamental rights of the Accused when executing the arrest warrants, 31 the

Pre-Trial Judge finds that nothing in its request for arrest warrants and transfer

orders is prima facie inconsistent with the fundamental rights provided for in

Chapter II of the Constitution. To this effect, in accordance with Article 41(4) and

(5) of the Law, and Rule 55(6) of the Rules, as soon as the Accused are in custody

and fall under the jurisdiction of the SC, they shall be informed of their rights under

the Law with a view to exercising them before the Pre-Trial Judge as soon as

practicable.

<sup>31</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 28.

KSC-BC-2023-10 8 2 October 2023

D. Order for Transfer to Detention Facilities of the Specialist Chambers

25. For security reasons, the SPO has invoked a change of venue to the Host State

pursuant to Article 3(8)(a) of the Law.<sup>32</sup> In light of this venue change,<sup>33</sup> the Pre-Trial

Judge considers it necessary that the Accused are transferred to the SC Detention

Facilities, managed by the Registrar, in the Host State. Pursuant to Article 41(7) of

the Law and Rule 55(4) of the Rules, the Registrar shall execute the order for transfer

to the SC Detention Facilities in the Host State, making the necessary arrangements

for the prompt transfer of the Accused.

E. EXECUTION AND SERVICE OF THE ORDERS

26. Pursuant to Article 35(3) of the Law, the police within the SPO has the authority

and responsibility to exercise powers given to Kosovo Police under Kosovo law. It

may therefore be considered a "competent authority" under Rule 49(1) of the Rules,

capable of executing and serving orders of the SC, including the annexed arrest

warrants.<sup>34</sup> In accordance with Rule 49(1) of the Rules, the Registrar shall transmit

the transfer orders in cooperation with the SPO. The SPO may, as appropriate and

necessary, disclose the arrest warrants for the purposes of their execution.

27. If the SPO receives information about the Accused's travel, whether planned or

ongoing, or that the Accused are present on the territory of any Third State, the SPO

shall promptly provide such information to the Registrar. If the Registrar receives

such information from a source other than the SPO, she shall promptly provide any

such information to the SPO. The Registrar shall thereafter, having consulted with

the SPO, transmit the annexed arrest warrants and transfer orders to the competent

authorities of any Third State concerned, in conformity with Article 55 of the Law.

<sup>32</sup> Submission of Indictment, para. 5.

<sup>33</sup> Decision on Change of Venue, para 4. In light of the aforementioned decision, the SPO request invoking a change of venue is moot.

<sup>34</sup> In notifying the SPO of the present decision, along with the four annexes, through Legal Workflow, the transmission of the relevant documents to the SPO is deemed fulfilled pursuant to Rules 49(1) and 55(1) of the Rules.

KSC-BC-2023-10 9 2 October 2023

Date original: 02/10/2023 16:56:00

Date public redacted version: 12/10/2023 16:24:00

If this is the case, the Pre-Trial Judge clarifies that the Registrar may, as appropriate

and necessary, disclose the arrest warrants and transfer orders for the purposes of

their execution.

28. Upon arrival at the SC Detention Facilities, or in any case before the initial

appearance, the Registrar shall submit a report on the arrest and transfer of the

Accused to the Pre-Trial Judge, including any relevant details and information

provided by the SPO, and, in case the arrests and transfers are effectuated in/from

a Third State, any information regarding the basis on which that Third State has

agreed or may have assumed an obligation to provide assistance to the SC.

V. DISPOSITION

29. For the above reasons, the Pre-Trial Judge hereby:

**GRANTS** the request for arrest warrants (Annexes 1 and 3);

**GRANTS** the request for transfer orders (Annexes 2 and 4);

**DIRECTS** the SPO to serve and execute the annexed arrest warrants

in Kosovo;

d. **DIRECTS** the Registrar, in consultation with the SPO, to transmit the

annexed arrest warrant(s) and transfer order(s), if applicable, to the

competent authorities of any Third State, for service and execution.

e. **DIRECTS** the SPO to consult with the Registrar on the necessary

arrangements to be made, including any appropriate and necessary

disclosure of the arrest warrants, for their execution and service;

**DIRECTS** the Registrar to execute the transfer of the Accused to the

SC Detention Facilities in the Host State; and

g. **DIRECTS** the Registrar, upon arrival to the SC Detention Facilities, or

in any case before their initial appearance, to submit a report on the

10 KSC-BC-2023-10 2 October 2023

arrest and transfer of the Accused, as specified in paragraph 28 of this decision.

Judge Nicolas Guillou

**Pre-Trial Judge** 

Dated this Monday, 2 October 2023

At The Hague, the Netherlands.